Type IIn's and the Final Stages

SN 2006jd



Ori Fox

Berkeley

St. Paul, Minnesota 10/01-03/12

Type IIn's in the Mid-IR



LBV Progenitor Eruptions?

$$\begin{split} \dot{M} &= \frac{M_{\rm d}}{Z_{\rm d} \Delta r} v_{\rm w} \\ &= \frac{3}{4} \Big(\frac{M_{\rm d}}{\rm M_{\odot}} \Big) \Big(\frac{v_{\rm w}}{\rm 120 \ \rm km \ s^{-1}} \Big) \Big(\frac{0.05 \ \rm ly}{r} \Big) \Big(\frac{r}{\Delta r} \Big) \frac{\rm M_{\odot}}{\rm yr} \end{split}$$

Mass Loss Associated with Radiatively Heated Graphite Dust Shell



Blackbody, Shock, Echo Plateau, and Vaporization Radii

SN	$\dot{M} imes (10 \Delta r)/r \ ({ m M}_{\odot} { m yr}^{-1})$	$t_{ m eruption} \ { m (yr)}$
2005cp	2.2e-3	30
2005 gn	7.5e-4	25
2005ip	1.8e-3	235
2006jd	2.8e-3	106
2006qq	9.2e-3	17
2007rt	1.2e-3	181
2008J	1.6e-3	55
2008cg	2.3e-3	57
2008en	1.4e-3	49
$2008 \mathrm{gm}$	1.6e-4	4
2008ip	3.3e-4	13

SN	Epoch (days)	$rac{r_{ m bb}{}^{ m a}}{ m (ly)}$	$rac{r_{ m s2}}{ m (ly)}^{ m b}$	$rac{r_{ m s1}{ m c}}{ m (ly)}$	$rac{r_{ m ech}{ m d}}{ m (ly)}$	$rac{r_{ m evap}{ m e}}{ m (ly)}$
2005cp	1523	0.038	0.033	0.20	2.1	0.012
2005ip	948	0.010	0.032	0.19	2.0 1.3	0.013
2006jd 2006qq	$\frac{1149}{1048}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.067\\ 0.048\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.025 \\ 0.022 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.15 \\ 0.14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6 \\ 1.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01\\ 0.016\end{array}$
2007rt 2008J	$\frac{780}{593}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.057 \\ 0.035 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.017\\ 0.013\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.10 \\ 0.077 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \\ 0.81 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ 0.02 \end{array}$
2008cg 2008en	$474 \\ 386$	0.036 0.031	0.010 0.008	0.061	$0.65 \\ 0.53$	0.02
2008gm	301 438	0.004	0.007	0.039	0.41	0.0065
20001p	100	0.000	0.010	0.001	0.00	0.010

Expected High Energy Emission





















X-Rays as a Discriminator



SN 2010jl - H/alpha



Techniques Apply to All Varieties

- Type II: 05ip
- Type lb/c: 06jc, 01em
- Impostors: 02bu, 08S, NGC 300-OT1
- SLSNe: 06gy, 06tf, 10jl
- Type la: 00cx, 02ic, 05gl, 08J (?), 08cg (?)
- Are All Type IIn Supernovae?
- What are the progenitors to SLSNe?
- Why Do All the SNe in my sample look the same? Or do they?

What traits distinguish subclasses?

- Peak Luminosity
- Expansion Speed
- Rise/Fall Time
- Infrared?
- X-rays?
- Radio?
- Rates?
- Environments?

Implications and Future Work

- In general, the Type IIn subclass seems to have a warm dust component that likely is due to an `IR echo' that forms from the heating of a large, pre-existing dust shell. The heating mechanism is likely the optical luminosity generated from the forward forward shock interaction with the circumstellar medium.
- Mass-loss rates and progenitor wind speeds suggest LBV progenitors that have undergone eruptive events tens to hundreds of years before going SN.
- Will be be able to observe the echo turn off? What is the mechanism?
- And more...
 - Can these techniques be used for other supernova/transient types?
 - If LBVs can go supernova, than their cores are significantly more evolved than stellar evolution models predict?
 - If LBVs yield large amounts of dust in their progenitor winds, then perhaps there is a connection to the large mass stars associated with Pop. III stars in the early universe?

RATIR



ODF+12, Butler+12

X-Rays From LBV Eruptions?



2) Dust In Other Transients



What About the Non-Detections?

Likely Heating Mechanisms

Evidence for Dust Origin and Heating Mechanism

SN	Newly Formed?	Shock Heating?	IR Echo?	Shock Echo?
2005 cp	no	maybe	no	yes
2005gn	no	maybe	no	maybe
2005ip	yes	no	no	yes
2006jd	no	no	no	maybe
2006qq	no	no	no	yes
2007 rt	yes $^{\rm a}$	no	no	maybe
2008J	maybe	maybe	no	maybe
2008cg	yes	no	no	yes
2008en	no	maybe	no	yes
$2008 \mathrm{gm}$	no	yes	no	yes
2008ip	no	yes	no	yes
^a Trundle				

Origin and Heating of Warm Dust





Why do we care about dust?

- The presence of dust yields various clues about the supernova explosion and the progenitor system, including:
 - Geometry of circumstellar medium and progenitor evolution
 - Peak supernova luminosity & explosion mechanics
 - Shock velocity & circumstellar interaction
- The presence of dust also allows us to probe the possibility that supernovae are significant sources of dust in the early universe
 - -Observations reveal large amounts of reddening (i.e. dust) in the early universe (Maiolino+04)

-But at less than 1 Gyr (Z>6), the universe was not populated by low-mass AGB stars, which are the dominant sources of dust in our local universe



New Dust Contribution



Red Supergiant





NACO K b

WFC F439W, F555W, F814W



C2 F300W, F606W, F814W





ACS HRC F435W, F555W, F814W



SN 2003gd, SN 2004A, SN 2004et, SN 2005cs



ACS HRC F330W, F555W, F814W

If true, where are the higher mass RSG progenitors?

- New IMF?
- New theory of stellar evolution and explosions?
- Need to account for dust extinction?



SN II-P

Gal-Yam+07

Direct observations of progenitors (SNe 2003gd, 2005cs, 2008bk, 2004dj, and 2004am) identify redsupergiant (RSG) progenitors. But all have masses from 8.5-17 M_o.



- Type lb/c progenitors never observed directly.
- Lack of H -> progenitor wind -> Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars. But with known galactic WR characteristics, little chance we haven't directly detected a progenitor yet. Also, Type lb/c rate would require WR stars to form as low as 16 M_☉.
- These results suggest 2 progenitor systems:
 - Interacting binaries
 - WR stars (But what happens to the WR stars that don't form SNe?)



- What is the fate of the most massive stars (i.e., LBVs) w/ masses 80-120 $\rm M_\odot?$ Evolution theory suggests they lose their H/He envelopes and end up WR stars. And any eventual core-collapse should result in a black hole.
- Direct detection of a single LBV progenitor (SN2005gl). An additional event (SN2006jc) was observed coincident w/ a prior LBV-like outburst. Furthermore, ultra-bright (10⁵¹ erg), H-rich events (e.g., SNe 2006gy, 2005ap, 2008es, 2006tf) require massive progenitors, consistent with LBVs.
- But physical mechanism that produces the ultra-bright Type IIn (and II-L) SNe remains controversial and unresolved. If LBVs, this would imply the cores are significantly more evolved than predicted by stellar evolution models.
 - Perhaps the core-collapse model doesn't even work in this case (e.g., pair-instability)?

Late-time IR Emission From SNe IIn



X-Rays





2) Dust From Impostors?

